UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

	United States of America,)	Case No. CR	18-003CKB
	Plaintiff, v.)		O ORDER EXCLUDING TIME SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
	Jesean Bailey)		JAN 242018 NORTHER U.S. V. SO
	Defendant.	j		ON DISTRICT COURT
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on				
	Failure to grant a continuance w See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i	ould be lik).	ely to result in a	miscarriage of justice.
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).			
	Failure to grant a continuance w taking into account the exercise			
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
	IT IS SO ORDERED.		1	
	DATED: 1-24-18			Me Scelley E SCOTT CORLEY s Magistrate Judge
	STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	<u> </u>	Assistant Unit	ed States Attorney